

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 36236

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 704
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: December 1, 2009
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
EDDIE DEAN LUNDQUIST,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Stephen W. Drescher, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for felony domestic battery in the presence of children, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge
and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Eddie Dean Lundquist was convicted of felony domestic battery in the presence of children, Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, suspended the sentence and placed Lundquist on probation for three years. Lundquist appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Lundquist's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.